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December 1, 2014
Minnesota State Retirement System
Judges Retirement Fund
St. Paul, Minnesota
Dear Board of Directors:
This report provides accounting and financial reporting information that is intended to comply with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 67 and No. 68 for the Judges Retirement Fund ("JRF"). These calculations have been made on a basis that is consistent with our understanding of these accounting standards.

GASB Statement No. 67 is the accounting standard that applies to the financial reports issued by retirement systems. GASB Statement No. 68 establishes accounting and financial reporting for state and local government employers who provide their employees (including former employees) pension benefits through a trust.

Our calculation of the liability associated with the benefits described in this report was performed for the purpose of providing reporting and disclosure information that satisfies the requirements of GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68. The calculation of the plan's liability for this report may not be applicable for funding purposes of the plan. A calculation of the plan's liability for purposes other than satisfying the requirements of GASB Statement No. 67 may produce significantly different results. The information in this report is calculated on a total plan basis. MSRS is responsible for preparing the Schedule of Employer Allocations and the Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer. This report may be provided to parties other than the Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) only in its entirety and only with the permission of MSRS.

This report is based upon information, furnished to us by MSRS, concerning retirement and ancillary benefits, active members, deferred vested members, retirees and beneficiaries, and financial data. If your understanding of this information is different, please let us know. This information was checked for internal consistency, but it was not otherwise audited.

To the best of our knowledge, the information contained with this report is accurate and fairly represents the actuarial position of the Judges Retirement Fund as of the measurement date. All calculations have been made in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices as well as with the Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. Brian B. Murphy and Bonita J. Wurst are members of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA) and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. Respectfully submitted,
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## SECTION A <br> EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Executive Summary

## As of June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands)

|  |  |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Valuation Date |  |  | June 30, 2014 |  |
| Measurement Date of the Net Pension Liability |  |  | June 30, 2014 |  |
| Membership |  |  |  |  |
| Number of |  |  |  |  |
| - Service Retirements |  |  |  | 227 |
| - Survivors |  |  |  | 84 |
| - Disability Retirements |  |  |  | 24 |
| - Deferred Retirements |  |  |  | 16 |
| - Terminated other non-vested |  |  |  | 0 |
| - Active Members |  |  |  | 316 |
| - Total |  |  |  | 667 |
| Covered-Employee Payroll |  |  | \$ | 41,893 |
| Net Pension Liability |  |  |  |  |
| Total Pension Liability |  |  | \$ | 381,511 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  |  |  | 175,556 |
| Net Pension Liability |  |  | \$ | 205,955 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage |  |  |  |  |
| of Total Pension Liability |  |  |  | 46.02\% |
| Net Pension Liability as a Percentage |  |  |  |  |
| of Covered-Employee Payroll |  |  |  | 491.62\% |
| Development of the Single Discount Rate |  |  |  |  |
| Single Discount Rate |  |  |  | 5.78\% |
| Long-Term Expected Rate of Investment Return |  |  |  | 7.90\% |
| Long-Term Municipal Bond Rate ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  | 4.29\% |
| Last year ending June 30 in the 2015 to 2114 projection period |  |  |  |  |
| for which projected benefit payments are fully funded |  |  |  | 2034 |
| Total Pension Expense/(Income) |  |  | \$ | $\underline{ }$ |
| Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources by Source to be recognized in Future Pension Expenses Deferred Outflows Deferred Inflows of Resources of Resources |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  |  |  |  |
| Changes in assumptions |  | 0 |  | 6,733 |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings |  |  |  |  |
| on pension plan investments |  | 0 |  | 12,837 |
| Total | \$ | 4,064 | \$ | 19,570 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Assumed equal to actual employer contributions divided by employer contribution rate.
${ }^{(2)}$ Based on the Bond Buyer 20-Bond Index of general obligation municipal bonds as of June 26, 2014
(i.e., the weekly rate closest to but not later than the Measurement Date).

## DISCUSSION

## Accounting Standard

For pension plans that are administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements, Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans establishes standards of financial reporting for separately issued financial reports and specifies the required approach for measuring the pension liability. Similarly, GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions establishes standards for state and local government employers (as well as non-employer contributing entities) to account for and disclose the net pension liability, pension expense, and other information associated with providing retirement benefits to their employees (and former employees) on their basic financial statements.

The following discussion provides a summary of the information that is required to be disclosed under these accounting standards. A number of these disclosure items are provided in this report. However, certain information, such as notes regarding accounting policies and investments, is not included in this report and the retirement system and/or plan sponsor will be responsible for preparing and disclosing that information to comply with these accounting standards.

## Financial Statements

GASB Statement No. 68 requires state and local governmental employers to recognize the net pension liability and the pension expense on their financial statements. The net pension liability is the difference between the total pension liability and the plan's fiduciary net position. In traditional actuarial terms, this is analogous to the accrued liability less the market value of assets (not the smoothed actuarial value of assets that is often encountered in actuarial valuations performed to determine the employer's contribution requirement).

The pension expense recognized each fiscal year is equal to the change in the net pension liability from the beginning of the year to the end of the year, adjusted for deferred recognition of the difference between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the Total Pension Liability, assumption changes, and investment experience.

Pension plans that prepare their own, stand-alone financial statements are required to present two financial statements - a Statement of Fiduciary Net Position and a Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position in accordance with GASB Statement No. 67. The Statement of Fiduciary Net Position presents the assets and liabilities of the pension plan at the end of the pension plan's reporting period. The Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position presents the additions, such as contributions and investment income, and deductions, such as benefit payments and expenses, and net increase or decrease in the fiduciary net position.

## Notes to Financial Statements

GASB Statement No. 68 requires the notes to the employer's financial statements to disclose the total pension expense, the pension plan's liabilities and assets, and deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions.

Both GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68 require the notes to the financial statements for the employers and pension plans to include certain descriptive information about the pension plans through which the pension benefits are provided. The list of disclosure items should include:

- a description of benefits provided by the plan;
- the classes of employees and number of members covered by the pension plan;
- a description of the plan's funding policy, which includes member and employer contribution requirements;
- the pension plan's investment policies;
- the pension plan's fiduciary net position, net pension liability, and the pension plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability;
- the net pension liability using a discount rate that is $1 \%$ higher and $1 \%$ lower than the current discount rate used to calculate the total pension liability and net pension liability for financial reporting purposes;
- significant assumptions and methods used to calculate the total pension liability;
- inputs to the discount rates; and
- certain information about mortality assumptions and the dates of experience studies.

Retirement systems that issue stand-alone financial statements are required to disclose additional information in accordance with Statement No. 67. This information includes:

- the composition of the pension plan's board and the authority under which benefit terms may be amended;
- a description of how fair value is determined;
- information regarding certain reserves and investments, which include concentrations of investments greater than or equal to $5 \%$, receivables, and insurance contracts excluded from plan assets; and
- annual money-weighted rate of return.

MSRS' comprehensive annual financial report, which contains the basic financial statements and related note disclosures for the Judges Retirement Fund can be obtained from MSRS at 60 Empire Drive, Suite 300, St. Paul, MN, 55103 or requested via email at info@msrs.us or telephone at 1-800-657-5757.

## Required Supplementary Information

GASB Statement No. 67 requires a 10 -year fiscal history of:

- sources of changes in the net pension liability;
- information about the components of the net pension liability and related ratios, including the pension plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability, and the net pension liability as a percent of covered-employee payroll; and
- comparison of the actual employer contributions to the actuarially determined contributions based on the plan's funding policy.


## Timing of the Valuation

An actuarial valuation to determine the total pension liability is required to be performed at least every two years. The net pension liability and pension expense should be measured as of the pension plan's fiscal year end (measurement date) on a date that is within the employer's prior fiscal year. If the actuarial valuation used to determine the total pension liability is not calculated as of the measurement date, the total pension liability is required to be rolled forward from the actuarial valuation date to the measurement date.

The total pension liability shown in this report is based on an actuarial valuation performed as of June 30, 2014 and a measurement date of June 30, 2014.

## Single Discount Rate

Projected benefit payments are required to be discounted to their actuarial present values using a single discount rate that reflects (1) a long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments (to the extent that the plan's fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay benefits) and (2) tax-exempt municipal bond rate based on an index of 20-year general obligation bonds with an average AA credit rating (which is published by the Federal Reserve) as of the measurement date (to the extent that the contributions for use with the long-term expected rate of return are not met).

For the purpose of this valuation, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is $7.90 \%$; the municipal bond rate is $4.29 \%$ (based on the weekly rate closest to but not later than the measurement date of the 20-Year Bond Buyer Index as published by the Federal Reserve Board); and the resulting single discount rate is $5.78 \%$.

## Effective Date and Transition

GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2013, and June 15, 2014 respectively. Earlier application is encouraged by the GASB.

## SECTION B <br> FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Pension Expense Under GASB Statement No. 68 <br> Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands)

## A. Expense

1. Service Cost \$ 12,075
2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability 20,535
3. Current-Period Benefit Changes 0
4. Employee Contributions (made negative for addition here) $\quad(3,578)$
5. Projected Earnings on Plan Investments (made negative for addition here) $\quad(11,965)$
6. Pension Plan Administrative Expense
7. Other Changes in Plan Fiduciary Net Position 0
8. Recognition of Outflow (Inflow) of Resources due to differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the Total Pension Liability
9. Recognition of Outflow (Inflow) of Resources due to assumption changes
10. Recognition of Outflow (Inflow) of Resources due to the difference between projected (7.90\%) and actual earnings on Pension Plan Investments

## 11. Total Pension Expense / (Income)

|  | $(3,209)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 4 6}$ |

# Statement of Outflows and Inflows arising from Current Reporting Period <br> Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands) 

## A. Outflows (Inflows) of Resources due to Liabilities

1. Difference between expected and actual experience of the Total Pension Liability (gains) or losses \$
2. Assumption Changes (gains) or losses \$
3. Recognition period for Liabilities: Average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees \{in years \}
4. Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in the current pension expense for the difference between expected and actual experience of the Total Pension Liability

\$

4. Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in the current pension expense for the
5. Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in the current pension expense for
Assumption Changes tflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in the current pension expense due to Liabilities

| $\$ \quad$ (667) |
| :--- |

7. Deferred Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in future pension expenses for the
difference between expected and actual experience
of the Total Pension Liability
8. Deferred Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in future pension expenses for Assumption Changes
\$
$(6,733)$
9. Deferred Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in future pension expenses due to Liabilities

| $\$$ | $(2,669)$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## B. Outflows (Inflows) of Resources due to Assets

1. Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments (gains) or losses\$
2. Recognition period for Assets \{in years \}
3. Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in the current pension expense due to Assets
\$
4. Deferred Outflow (Inflow) of Resources to be recognized in future pension expenses due to Assets \$
$(12,837)$

# Statement of Outflows and Inflows arising from Current and Prior Reporting Periods 

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands)
A. Outflows and Inflows of Resources due to Liabilities and Assets to be recognized in Current Pension Expense

|  | Outflows of Resources |  | Inflows of Resources |  | Net Outflows/ (Inflows) of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Due to Liabilities | \$ | 1,016 | \$ | 1,683 | \$ | (667) |
| 2. Due to Assets |  | 0 |  | 3,209 |  | $(3,209)$ |
| 3. Total | \$ | 1,016 | \$ | 4,892 | \$ | $(3,876)$ |

B. Outflows and Inflows of Resources by Source to be recognized in Current Pension Expense

|  | Outflows of Resources |  | Inflows of Resources |  | Net Outflows/ <br> (Inflows) of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ | 1,016 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1,016 |
| 2. Assumption Changes |  | 0 |  | 1,683 |  | $(1,683)$ |
| 3. Net Difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments |  | 0 |  | 3,209 |  | $(3,209)$ |
| 4. Total | \$ | 1,016 | \$ | 4,892 | \$ | $(3,876)$ |

## C. Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources by Source to be recognized in Future Pension Expenses

|  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | Net Deferred Outflows, (Inflows) of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ | 4,064 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 4,064 |
| 2. Assumption Changes |  | 0 |  | 6,733 |  | $(6,733)$ |
| 3. Net Difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments |  | 0 |  | 12,837 |  | $(12,837)$ |
| 4. Total | \$ | 4,064 | \$ | 19,570 | \$ | $(15,506)$ |

D. Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources by Year to be recognized in Future Pension Expenses

| Year Ending <br> June 30 |  | Net Deferred Outflows/ <br> (Inflows) of Resources |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2015 |  | $(3,876)$ |
| 2016 |  | $(3,876)$ |
| 2017 |  | $(3,876)$ |
| 2018 |  | $(3,878)$ |
| 2019 |  | 0 |
| Thereafter |  | 0 |
| Total | $\$$ | $(15,506)$ |

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position
As of June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands)

| Assets | June 30, 2014 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash \& Short-term Investments | $\$$ | 5,198 |
| Receivables |  | 60 |
| Investment Pools (at fair value) | 170,415 |  |
| Securities Lending Collateral | 18,963 |  |
| Capital Assets | $\$$ | 0 |
| Total Assets | $\mathbf{1 9 4 , 6 3 6}$ |  |
| Total Deferred Outflows of Resources | $\$$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Total Liabilities | $\$$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 , 0 8 0 )}$ |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources | $\$$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Net Position Restricted for Pensions | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 5 5 6}$ |

## Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position <br> For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands)

| Change in Fiduciary Net Position | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ending | June 30, 2014 |  |
| 1. Net position at market value at beginning of year | \$ | 155,398 |
| Additions |  |  |
| 2. Contributions |  |  |
| a. Member | \$ | 3,578 |
| b. Employer |  | 9,426 |
| c. Other sources |  | 0 |
| d. Total contributions | \$ | 13,004 |
| 3. Investment income |  |  |
| a. Investment income/(loss) | \$ | 28,255 |
| b. Investment expenses |  | (244) |
| c. Net investment income/(loss) | \$ | 28,011 |
| 4. Other Additions | \$ | 0 |
| 5. Total Additions: (2.d.) + (3.c. $)+(4$. | \$ | 41,015 |

## Deductions

6. Benefits Paid
a. Annuity benefits
b. Refunds
c. Total benefits paid

|  | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $(20,802)$ |

7. Expenses
a. Other deductions

| $\$$ | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $(55)$ |
| $\$$ | $(55)$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{( 2 0 , 8 5 7 )}$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 1 5 8}$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 5 5 6}$ |

11. State Board of Investment calculated investment return
$18.6 \%$

## SECTION C <br> REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios Current Period

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 (Dollars in Thousands)

## A. Total pension liability

1. Service Cost \$ 12,075
2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability 20,535
3. Changes of benefit terms
4. Difference between expected and actual experience of the Total Pension Liability 5,080
5. Changes of assumptions $\quad(8,416)$
6. Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions
7. Net change in total pension liability
8. Total pension liability - beginning
9. Total pension liability - ending

|  | $(20,802)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 8,472 |
|  | 373,039 |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1 , 5 1 1}$ |

## B. Plan fiduciary net position

1. Contributions - employer \$ 9,426
2. Contributions - employee 3,578
3. Net investment income

28,011
4. Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions
5. Pension Plan Administrative Expense
6. Other changes
7. Net change in plan fiduciary net position
8. Plan fiduciary net position - beginning
9. Plan fiduciary net position - ending
C. Net pension liability, A.9-B.9.
D. Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability, B.9 / A.9.
46.02\%
E. Covered-employee payroll \$
$41,893{ }^{(2)}$

## F. Net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll, $C$. / $E$.

491.62\%

[^0]
## Schedules of Required Supplementary Information

## Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios Multiyear (Dollars in Thousands)

## Last 10 Fiscal Years (which will be built prospectively)

Fiscal year ending June 30,


Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)
$\$ \quad 9,426$
Plan Fiduciary Net Position
Employer Contributions
9,426
3,578
Employee Contributions
Pension Plan Net Investment Income
Benefit Payments
28,011
$(20,802)$
Refunds
0
Pension Plan Administrative Expense
Other
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a) - (b)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of Total Pension Liability
0
$(55)$
0

## Covered-Employee Payroll

|  | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 20,158 |
| 155,398 |  |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 5 6 6}$ |
|  | 205,955 |

Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll
46.02 \%

Notes to Schedule:
${ }^{(1)}$ Assumption changes are summarized on page 26.
${ }^{(2)}$ Assumed equal to actual employer contributions divided by employer contribution rate.

## Schedules of Required Supplementary Information <br> Schedule of Net Pension Liability Multiyear (Dollars in Thousands) <br> Last 10 Fiscal Years (which will be built prospectively)

| FY Ending June 30, |  | Total <br> Pension Liability |  | Plan Net <br> Position |  | Net Pension Liability | Plan Net Position as a \% of Total Pension Liability |  | vered-Employee Payroll | Net Pension Liability as a \% of Covered-Employee Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (a) |  | (b) |  | (a) - (b) = (c) | (b)/(c) |  | (d) | (c)/(d) |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | \$ | 381,511 | \$ | 175,556 | \$ | \$ 205,955 | 46.02\% | \$ | 41,893 | 491.62\% |

# Schedule of Contributions Multiyear (Dollars in Thousands) Last 10 Fiscal Years 



## Notes to Schedule of Contributions

## Valuation Date:

Notes

June 30, 2014
${ }^{(1)}$ Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of each July 1.
${ }^{(2)}$ Assumed equal to actual employer contributions divided by employer contribution rate

Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Contribution Rates Reported in this Schedule:

Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization Method
Remaining Amortization Period
Asset Valuation Method
Inflation
Salary Increases
Investment Rate of Return Retirement Age

Healthy Post-Retirement
Mortality

Other Information:
Benefit Increases After
Retirement

Entry Age Normal
Level Percentage of Payroll, Closed
25 years
5-Year smoothed market; no corridor
3.00\%
3.00\%
$8.00 \%$ through June 30, 2017; 8.50\% thereafter
Experience-based table of rates that are specific to the type of eligibility condition. Last updated for the 2012 valuation pursuant to an experience study of the period 2007-2011, prepared by a former actuary.
RP-2000 annuitant generational mortality table, projected with mortality improvement scale AA, white collar adjustment, set back one year for males and set back two years for females.

The post-retirement increase is assumed to remain at $1.75 \%$ indefinitely.
See separate funding report as of July 1, 2014 for additional detail. To obtain this report, contact MSRS as noted on page 3.

# Schedule of Investment Returns Multiyear <br> Last 10 Fiscal Years 

| FY Ending <br> June 30, | Annual <br> Return $^{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expenses.

The Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) compiled this data and the related investment notes and provided it to MSRS for GASB-compliance purposes. MSRS furnished this information to us for inclusion within this report. We did not audit this information. We are not responsible for its accuracy or completeness.

## Rate of Return

For the year ended June 30, 2014, the annual money-weighted rate of return for the State Patrol Retirement Fund was $18.66 \%$. The money-weighted rate of return is a method of calculating period-by-period returns on pension plan investments that adjusts for the changing amounts actually invested. For purposes of this schedule, the money-weighted rate of return is calculated as the internal rate of return on pension plan investments, net of pension plan investment expense.

## 10-Year Schedule of Money-Weighted Investment Return

Ten-year data is not available. Additional years will be provided when they become available.
To request additional information about the computation of the annual money-weighted rate of return and the investments for the Minnesota Retirement Systems (including the investments for MSRS' defined benefit retirement funds), contact SBI at 60 Empire Drive, Suite 355, St. Paul, Minnesota, 55103, via email at minn.sbi@state.mn.us or telephone at (651) 296-3328.

## SECTION D <br> ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT DISCLOSURES

## Asset Allocation

## Long-Term Expected Return on Plan Assets

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method. Best estimates for expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of inflation) were developed for each asset class using both long-term historical returns and long-term capital market expectation from a number of investment management and consulting organizations. The asset class estimates and the target allocations were then combined to produce geometric, long-term expected real rate of return for the portfolio. Inflation expectations were applied to derive the nominal rate of return for the portfolio. For each asset class that is included in the pension fund's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2014, these best estimates are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return (Geometric) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Stocks | 45.00\% | 5.50\% |
| International Stocks | 15.00 | 6.00 |
| Bonds | 18.00 | 1.45 |
| Alternative Assets | 20.00 | 6.40 |
| Unallocated Cash | 2.00 | 0.50 |
| Total | 100.00\% |  |

The Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) compiled this data and the related investment notes and provided it to MSRS for GASB-compliance purposes. MSRS furnished this information to us for inclusion within this report. We did not audit this information. We are not responsible for its accuracy or completeness.

At MSRS' direction, for purposes of this valuation, the long-term expected rate of return assumption is $7.90 \%$. This assumption is based on a review of inflation and investment return assumptions dated September 11, 2014.

# Sensitivity of Net Pension Liability to the Single Discount Rate Assumption 

## Single Discount Rate

A single discount rate of $5.78 \%$ was used to measure the total pension liability. This single discount rate was based on an expected rate of return on pension plan investments of $7.90 \%$ and a municipal bond rate of $4.29 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that plan member and employer contributions will be made at the current contribution rates. Based on these assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position and future contributions were sufficient to fully finance the benefit payments through the year ending June 30, 2034 and assets were projected to be fully depleted in the year ending June 30, 2036. As a result, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to projected benefit payments through the point of asset depletion, and the municipal bond rate was applied to all benefit payments after the point of asset depletion.

Regarding the sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the single discount rate, the following presents the fund's net pension liability, calculated using a single discount rate of $5.78 \%$, as well as what the fund's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a single discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (4.78\%) or 1-percentage-point higher (6.78\%) than the current single discount rate:

# Sensitivity of Net Pension Liability to the Single Discount Rate Assumption 

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Current Single Discount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 \%}$ Decrease | Rate Assumption | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ Increase |
| $\mathbf{4 . 7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 8 \%}$ |
| $\$ 248,832$ | $\$ 205,955$ | $\$ 169,607$ |

A single discount rate of $5.57 \%$ was used for the measurement date as of July 1, 2013. For more information on the calculation of the single discount rate, refer to Section $G$ of this report.

GASB Statement No. 68 Reconciliation (Dollars in Thousands)

|  | Total Pension Liability <br> (a) |  | Plan Fiduciary Net Position <br> (b) |  | Net Pension Liability (a) - (b) |  | Deferred Outflows |  | Deferred Inflows |  | Pension Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance Beginning of Year | \$ | 373,039 | \$ | 155,398 | \$ | 217,641 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Changes for the Year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 12,075 |  |  | \$ | 12,075 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 12,075 |
| Interest on Total Pension Liability |  | 20,535 |  |  |  | 20,535 |  |  |  |  |  | 20,535 |
| Interest on Plan Fiduciary Net Position ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | \$ | 11,965 |  | $(11,965)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(11,965)$ |
| Changes in Benefit Terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liability Experience Gains and Losses |  | 5,080 |  |  |  | 5,080 | \$ | 4,064 |  |  |  | 1,016 |
| Changes in Assumptions |  | $(8,416)$ |  |  |  | $(8,416)$ |  |  | \$ | 6,733 |  | $(1,683)$ |
| Contributions - Employer |  |  |  | 9,426 |  | $(9,426)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - Employees |  |  |  | 3,578 |  | $(3,578)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(3,578)$ |
| Asset Gain/(Loss) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  | 16,046 |  | $(16,046)$ |  |  |  | 12,837 |  | $(3,209)$ |
| Benefit Payouts |  | $(20,802)$ |  | $(20,802)$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative Expenses |  |  |  | (55) |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| Other Changes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Changes | \$ | 8,472 | \$ | 20,158 | \$ | $(11,686)$ | \$ | 4,064 | \$ | 19,570 | \$ | 13,246 |
| Balance End of Year | \$ | 381,511 | \$ | 175,556 | \$ | 205,955 | \$ | 4,064 | \$ | 19,570 |  |  |

(1) The sum of these items equal the net investment income of $\$ 28,011$.

## Summary of Population Statistics

|  | Terminated |  |  | Recipients |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actives* | Deferred <br> Retirement | Other NonVested | Service Retirement | Disability Retirement | Survivor |  |
| Members on 7/1/2013 | 309 | 16 | 0 | 210 | 24 | 98 | 657 |
| New Members | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Return to active | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terminated non-vested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Service retirements | (16) | (2) | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terminated deferred | (2) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terminated refund/transfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deaths | 0 | 0 | 0 | (8) | 0 | (6) | (14) |
| New beneficiary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Disabled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unexpected status change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | (11) | (4) |
| Net change | 7 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | (14) | 10 |
| Members on 6/30/2014 | 316 | 16 | 0 | 227 | 24 | 84 | 667 |

* Includes active Judges who have reached the maximum benefit formula (employee contributions are directed to the Unclassified Employees Retirement Plan)


## SECTION E <br> SUMMARY OF BENEFITS

## Summary of Plan Provisions

Following is a summary of the major plan provisions used in the valuation of this report. MSRS is solely responsible for the validity, accuracy and comprehensiveness of this information. If any of the plan provisions shown below are not accurate and complete, the valuation results may differ significantly from those shown in this report and may require a revision of this report.

| Plan year | July 1 through June 30. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eligibility | A judge or justice of any court. If the member was active prior to January 1, 1974, <br> benefits may be computed according to provisions of the prior plan. |
| Tier 1 / Tier 2 Member | Tier 1 includes judges or justices first appointed or elected before July 1, 2013 and <br> Tier 2 includes judges or justices first appointed or elected after June 30, 2013. A <br> judge or justice with less than five years of service as of December 30, 2013 may <br> make a one-time irrevocable election into Tier 2. For the purpose of this valuation, <br> we have assumed no Tier 1 members elected Tier 2 benefits as of the valuation date. |
| Contributions <br> Member | 9.00\% of salary for Tier 1 members, 7.00\% of salary for Tier 2 members. Tier 1 <br> member contributions after maximum benefit is reached are redirected to the |
| Employer | Unclassified Employees Retirement Plan. |
| Allowable service of salary. |  |

## Summary of Plan Provisions (Continued)

## Retirement

Normal retirement benefit
Age/Service requirement First appointed as a judge before July 1, 2013 (Tier 1):
(a.) Age 65 and five years of Allowable Service
(b.) Age 70 (mandatory retirement age)

First appointed as a judge after June 30, 2013 (Tier 2):
(a.) Age 66 and five years of Allowable Service
(b.) Age 70 (mandatory retirement age)

Judges appointed before July 1, 2013 with less than five years of allowable service on or before December 31, 2013 may make a one-time election for the Tier 2 benefit package.

Amount

Early retirement
Age/Service requirement
Amount

Form of payment
First appointed as a judge before July 1, 2013 (Tier 1): 2.70\% of Average Salary for each year of Allowable Service prior to July 1, 1980 and $3.20 \%$ of Average Salary for each year of Allowable Service after June 30, 1980. Maximum benefit equal to $76.80 \%$ of Average Salary.

First appointed as a judge after June 30, 2013 (Tier 2): $2.50 \%$ of Average Salary for each year of Allowable Service

Tier 1 who elected into Tier 2: $3.20 \%$ of Average Salary for each year of Allowable Service prior to January 1, 2014 plus $2.50 \%$ of Average Salary for each year of Allowable Service after December 31, 2013.

Age 60 and five years of Allowable Service.
Normal Retirement Benefit based on Allowable Service and Average Salary at retirement date with reduction of $0.50 \%$ for each month the member is under Normal Retirement Age at time of retirement.

Life annuity. Actuarially equivalent options are:
(a.) $50 \%, 75 \%$ or $100 \%$ joint and survivor with no bounce back feature
(b.) $50 \%, 75 \%$ or $100 \%$ bounce back feature
(c.) 15 -year certain and life thereafter

Benefit increases
Since January 1, 2014, benefit recipients receive annual $1.75 \%$ benefit increases. If the accrued liability funding ratio reaches $70 \%$ for two consecutive years (on a Market Value of Assets basis), the benefit increase will revert to $2.0 \%$. If the accrued liability funding ratio reaches $90 \%$ for two consecutive years (on a Market Value of Assets basis), the benefit increase will revert to $2.5 \%$.

A benefit recipient who has been receiving a benefit for at least 18 full months as of the January 1 increase will receive a full increase. Members receiving benefits for at least six months but less than 18 full months as of the January 1 increase will receive a pro rata increase.

## Summary of Plan Provisions (Continued)

| Disability <br> Disability benefit <br> Age/Service requirement <br> Amount | Permanent inability to perform the function of judge. <br> No benefit is paid by the Fund. Instead, salary is continued for one year but not <br> beyond age 70. Employee contributions continue and Allowable Service is earned. <br> If disability continues after the first year (or at age 70 if earlier), the larger of <br> $25.00 \%$ of Average Salary or the Normal Retirement Benefit, without reduction. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Retirement after disability | Age/Service requirement | | Member is still disabled after salary payments cease after one year or at age 70, if |
| :--- |
| earlier. |
| Amount |
| Form of payment |
| amount. |
| Benefit increases | | Same as for retirement. |
| :--- |
| Same as for retirement. |

## Summary of Plan Provisions (Concluded)

Termination<br>Refund of contributions<br>Age/Service<br>requirement

Amount
Termination of service as a judge.
Member contributions with $6.00 \%$ annual interest compounded daily until June $30,2011,4.00 \%$ thereafter. If a member is vested, a deferred annuity may be elected in lieu of a refund.

Deferred benefit
Age/service
requirement
Amount
Five years of Allowable Service.
Benefit computed under law in effect at termination. Amount is payable at normal or early retirement.

If a member terminated employment prior to July 1, 1997 but was not eligible to commence their pension before July 1, 1997, an actuarial increase shall be made for the change in the post-retirement interest rates from $5.00 \%$ to $6.00 \%$.
Form of payment Same as for retirement.
Optional form conversion Actuarially equivalent factors based on RP-2000 for healthy annuitants, white factors collar adjustment, projected to 2022 using scale AA, set back one year for males and set back two years for females, blended $80 \%$ males, and $6.5 \%$ interest.

## Combined service annuity Members are eligible for combined service benefits if they:

(a.) Have sufficient allowable service in total that equals or exceeds the applicable service credit vesting requirement of the retirement plan with the longest applicable service credit vesting requirement;
(b.) Have at least six months of allowable service credit in each plan worked under, and
(c.) Are not in receipt of a benefit from another plan, or have applied for benefits with an effective date within one year.
Members who meet the above requirements must have their benefit based on the following:
(a.) Allowable service in all covered plans are combined in order to determine eligibility for early retirement;
(b.) Average salary is based on the high five consecutive years during their entire service in all covered plans.
Changes in plan provisions Effective July 1, 2014, the funding ratio threshold that must be attained to pay a $2.0 \%$ post-retirement benefit increase to benefit recipients was changed from $70 \%$ for one year to $70 \%$ for two consecutive years. The funding ratio threshold that must be attained to pay a $2.5 \%$ post-retirement benefit increase to benefit recipients was changed from $90 \%$ for one year to $90 \%$ for two consecutive years.

The 10 -year certain and life thereafter optional form of payment is no longer available.

## SECTION F <br> ACTUARIAL COST METHOD AND ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

## Actuarial Methods

## Actuarial Cost Method

Normal cost and the allocation of benefit values between service rendered before and after the valuation date were determined using an Individual Entry-Age Actuarial Cost Method having the following characteristics:
(i) the annual normal cost for each individual active member, payable from the date of employment to the date of retirement, is sufficient to accumulate the value of the member's benefit at the time of retirement;
(ii) each annual normal cost is a constant percentage of the member's year by year projected covered pay.

Actuarial gains/(losses), as they occur, reduce (increase) the Total Pension Liability.

## Valuation of Future Post-Retirement Benefit Increases

Benefit recipients receive a future annual $1.75 \%$ post-retirement benefit increase. If the funding ratio reaches $70 \%$ (based on a $2.00 \%$ post-retirement benefit increase assumption) for two consecutive years, the benefit increase will increase to $2.00 \%$, if the funding ratio reaches $90 \%$ (based on a $2.50 \%$ postretirement benefit increase assumption) for two consecutive years, the benefit increase revert to $2.50 \%$.

To determine an assumption regarding a future change in the post-retirement benefit increase, we performed a projection of liabilities and assets based on the following methods and assumptions:

- Future investment returns of $7.90 \%$
- Liabilities and normal cost based on statutory funding assumptions
- Discount rate of $8.00 \%$ through June 30, 2017; 8.50\% thereafter
- Statutory salary increases of $3.00 \%$
- Open group; stable active population (new member profile based on average new members hired in recent years)
- The postretirement benefit increase rate is assumed to be $1.75 \%$ per year until the funding ratio threshold required to pay a $2.00 \%$ postretirement benefit increase is reached and is assumed to be $2.00 \%$ per year until the threshold required to pay a $2.50 \%$ postretirement benefit increase is reached.
- Current statutory contributions (i.e. not including potential contribution increases under the contribution stabilizer statutes) as directed by MSRS.

Based on these assumptions and methods, the projection indicates that this plan is expected to pay $1.75 \%$ postretirement benefit increases indefinitely. This assumption is reflected in our calculations.

To determine the Total Pension Liability as of July 1, 2013, we performed a similar projection, and assumed the plan would pay the $1.75 \%$ benefit increases indefinitely.

## Decrement Timing

All decrements are assumed to occur mid-year.

## Asset Valuation Method

Fair value of assets.

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions

The following assumptions were used in valuing the liabilities and benefits under the plan. All demographic actuarial assumptions are prescribed by Minnesota Statutes, the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement (LCPR), or the MSRS Board of Directors. These parties are responsible for selecting the assumptions used for this valuation. The demographic assumptions prescribed are based on the last experience study, dated February 2012, prepared by a former actuary. The economic assumptions are based on a review of inflation and investment return assumptions dated September 11, 2014.

| Investment return | 7.90\% per annum. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Benefit increases after <br> retirement | $1.75 \%$ per annum. |
| Salary increases | $2.75 \%$ per year. |
| Payroll growth | $2.75 \%$ per year. |
| Inflation | $2.75 \%$ per year. |
| Mortality rates |  |
| Healthy pre-retirement post-retirement | RP-2000 employee generational mortality table projected using mortality <br> improvement scale AA, white collar adjustment. |
|  | RP-2000 annuitant generational mortality table projected with mortality <br> improvement scale AA, white collar adjustment, set back one year for males and <br> set back two years for females. |
|  | The RP-2000 employee mortality table as published by the Society of Actuaries <br> (SOA) contains mortality rates for ages 15 to 70 and the annuitant mortality table <br> contains mortality rates for ages 50 to 95. We have applied the annuitant mortality <br> table for active members beyond age 70 until the assumed retirement age and the <br> employee mortality table for annuitants younger than age 50. |
| Disabled | RP-2000 annuitant generational mortality table projected with mortality <br> improvement scale AA, white collar adjustment, set back one year for males and <br> set back two years for females. |
| Retirement | Members retiring from active status are assumed to retire according to the age <br> related rates shown in the rate table. Members who have attained the highest <br> assumed retirement age are assumed to retire in one year. |
| None. |  |

## Actuarial Basis

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

| Disability | Age-related rates based on experience; see table of sample rates. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Administrative expenses | For purposes of the Projection of Plan Fiduciary Net Position, total prior year <br> administrative expenses expressed as a percentage of prior year projected payroll <br> are assumed to increase 2.75\% per year and are allocated to the closed group <br> based on the ratio of closed group payroll to total payroll. |
| Refund of contributions | Account balances for deferred members accumulate interest until normal retirement <br> date and are discounted back to the valuation date. |
| Commencement of deferred <br> benefits | Members receiving deferred annuities (including current terminated deferred <br> members) are assumed to begin receiving benefits at age 65. |
| Percentage married | Marital status as indicated by data. |
| Age of spouse | Females are assumed to be three years younger than their male spouses. |
| Form of payment | Members are assumed to elect a life annuity. |
| Eligibility testing | Eligibility for benefits is determined based upon the age nearest birthday and <br> service nearest whole year on the date the decrement is assumed to occur. |
| Decrement operation | Withdrawal decrements do not operate during retirement eligibility. |
| Service credit accruals | It is assumed that members accrue one year of service credit per year. |
| Unknown data for certain | To prepare this report, GRS has used and relied on participant data supplied by <br> members |
|  | MSRS. Although GRS has reviewed the data in accordance with Actuarial <br> Standards of Practice No. 23, GRS has not verified or audited any of the data or <br> information provided. |

In cases where submitted data was missing or incomplete, the following assumptions were applied:

## Data for active members:

There were 15 members who have reached the 24 year service cap; 5 of these were reported as terminated members. These members are reflected as active members in this valuation. We assumed these members earned the greater of the salary reported under the Unclassified Employees Retirement Plan or \$134,289 for the July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 plan year.

There was 1 member reported without pay who was not in the group mentioned above. We assumed an annualized pay of $\$ 134,289$ for the July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 period.

There were no members reported with missing service.
There were no members reported with missing or invalid birth dates. There was 1 member reported with an invalid gender. We assumed the member was male.

Data for terminated members:
There was 1 member reported without a benefit. We calculated the benefit for this member using the reported Average Salary, Credited Service and Termination Date provided.

## Actuarial Basis

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

| Unknown data for certain | Data for members receiving benefits: |
| :--- | :--- |
| members | There were no members reported without a benefit. |

There were no members reported with missing or invalid birth dates or gender.
There were retired members reported with a survivor option and an invalid or missing survivor gender ( 56 members) and/or survivor date of birth (43 members). We used the valuation assumptions if the survivor gender or date of birth was missing or invalid.

There were 4 retirees reported with a survivor option and a survivor date of death. We assumed no benefit was payable to the survivor, and the member benefit already reflected the increase to the life annuity value (i.e., "bounce back"), if applicable.

There was 1 retiree reported with a bounce back annuity but was not reported with a reasonable reduction factor. A factor of 0.80 was assumed for the $100 \%$ joint and survivor annuity.

There were no survivors reported on the data file with an expired benefit.
At MSRS' direction, we changed the status of 22 members who were reported with a disabled status at the beginning of the year and a retired status at the end of the year back to disabled status.
Changes in actuarial
The single discount rate was changed from $5.57 \%$ to $5.78 \%$. assumptions

## Actuarial Basis

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions (Concluded)

| Age | Rate (\%) * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Healthy Post-Retirement Mortality** |  | Healthy PreRetirement Mortality** |  | Disability <br> Mortality** |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.03\% | 0.02\% | 0.03\% | 0.02\% | 0.03\% | 0.02\% |
| 25 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 30 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 40 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| 45 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.08 |
| 50 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| 55 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.56 | 0.29 |
| 60 | 0.61 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.47 |
| 65 | 1.04 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 1.04 | 0.74 |
| 70 | 1.74 | 1.24 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 1.74 | 1.24 |

* Generally, mortality rates are expected to increase as age increases. Due to the combination of pre-retirement rates, post-retirement rates, the white collar adjustment, and Projection Scale AA, the prescribed mortality tables have a few ages where assumed mortality decreases slightly instead of increases. We have used the rates as prescribed, but note that the prescribed assumption may not be reasonable at every age. If the rates were reasonably adjusted so that they decreased at all ages, we would not expect the valuation results to be materially different.
** These rates were adjusted for mortality improvements using projection scale AA.

| Age | Disability Retirement |  | Age | Retirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  |  |
| 20 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 60 | 0\% |
| 25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61 | 0 |
| 30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 62 | 8 |
| 35 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 63 | 5 |
| 40 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 64 | 8 |
| 45 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 65 | 25 |
| 50 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 66 | 20 |
| 55 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 67 | 10 |
| 60 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 68 | 30 |
| 65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 69 | 10 |
| 70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70 | 100 |

## SECTION G <br> CALCULATION OF THE SINGLE DISCOUNT RATE

## Calculation of the Single Discount Rate

GASB Statement No. 67 includes a specific requirement for the discount rate that is used for the purpose of the measurement of the Total Pension Liability. This rate considers the ability of the fund to meet benefit obligations in the future. To make this determination, employer contributions, employee contributions, benefit payments, expenses and investment returns are projected into the future. The Plan Fiduciary Net Position (assets) in future years can then be determined and compared to its obligation to make benefit payments in those years. As long as assets are projected to be on hand in a future year, the long-term rate of return is used as the discount rate. In years where assets are not projected to be sufficient to meet benefit payments, the use of a "risk-free" municipal bond rate is required, as described in the following paragraph.

The Single Discount Rate (SDR) is equivalent to applying these two rates to the benefits that are projected to be paid during the different time periods. The SDR reflects (1) the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments (during the period in which the fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay benefits) and (2) tax-exempt municipal bond rate based on an index of 20-year general obligation bonds with an average AA credit rating (which is published by the Federal Reserve Board (FRB)) as of the measurement date (to the extent that the contributions for use with the long-term expected rate of return are not met).

For the purpose of this valuation, the expected rate of return on pension plan investments is $7.90 \%$; the municipal bond rate is $4.29 \%$ (based on the FRB rate as of June 27, 2013). The resulting single discount rate as of July $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 1 4}$ is $\mathbf{5 . 7 8 \%}$.

Benefit payments projected to occur up through June 30, 2034 were fully funded and benefit payments projected to occur in the year ended June 30, 2035 were partially funded. Assets were projected to be depleted by the fiscal year ending June 30, 2036. Benefit payments were discounted using $7.9 \%$, the expected long-term rate of return on pension plan investments, as long as assets were sufficient to fund the benefit payments. Beginning in the July 1, 2034 to June 30, 2035 fiscal year, when benefit payments exceed the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position, benefit payments were discounted at $4.29 \%$, the municipal bond rate. An equivalent single discount rate was determined that produced approximately the same present value of projected benefits when applied to all years of projected benefits as the present value of projected benefits using $7.90 \%$ through the point of asset depletion and $4.29 \%$ after. For calculation of the equivalent present value of projected benefits, see pages 34 and 35 of this report.

The tables in this section provide background for the development of the single discount rate.
The Projection of Contributions table shows the development of expected contributions in future years. Normal Cost contributions for future hires are not included (nor are their liabilities).

The Projection of Plan Fiduciary Net Position table shows the development of expected asset levels in future years.

The Present Values of Projected Benefit Payments table shows the development of the Single Discount Rate (SDR). It breaks down the benefit payments into present values for funded and unfunded portions and shows the equivalent total at the SDR.

## Calculation of the Single Discount Rate (continued)

We performed a similar analysis as of July 1, 2013. Based on an expected rate of return of $7.90 \%$ and a municipal bond rate of $4.63 \%$ (based on the FRB rate as of June 27, 2013), the plan is projected to have sufficient assets to pay benefits through June 30, 2028 and to pay partial benefits in the year ending June 30, 2029. The resulting single discount rate as of July 1, 2013 is $\mathbf{5 . 5 7 \%}$.

# Single Discount Rate Development <br> Projection of Contributions (Dollars in Thousands) 

| Year | Projected Covered-Employee Payroll |  |  | Projected Contributions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Payroll for Current Employees | Payroll for New Employees | Total Employee Payroll | Contributions from Current Employees | Employer <br> Contributions for Current Employees | Contributions on Future Payroll toward Current UAL* | Total Contributions |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) $=(\mathrm{a})+$ (b) | (d) | (e) | (f) | $(\mathrm{g})=(\mathrm{d})+(\mathrm{e})+(\mathrm{f})$ |
| 0 | \$ 41,893 |  | \$ 41,893 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 43,421 |  | 43,421 | \$ 3,700 | \$ 9,770 |  | \$ 13,470 |
| 2 | 41,805 | \$ 2,810 | 44,615 | 3,542 | 9,406 | \$ 332 | 13,280 |
| 3 | 39,618 | 6,224 | 45,842 | 3,338 | 8,914 | 739 | 12,991 |
| 4 | 37,472 | 9,631 | 47,103 | 3,139 | 8,431 | 1,149 | 12,719 |
| 5 | 35,290 | 13,108 | 48,398 | 2,940 | 7,940 | 1,572 | 12,452 |
| 6 | 33,355 | 16,374 | 49,729 | 2,763 | 7,505 | 1,974 | 12,242 |
| 7 | 31,637 | 19,460 | 51,097 | 2,605 | 7,118 | 2,358 | 12,081 |
| 8 | 29,816 | 22,686 | 52,502 | 2,441 | 6,709 | 2,763 | 11,913 |
| 9 | 27,861 | 26,085 | 53,946 | 2,268 | 6,269 | 3,193 | 11,730 |
| 10 | 25,873 | 29,556 | 55,429 | 2,094 | 5,822 | 3,636 | 11,552 |
| 11 | 23,854 | 33,100 | 56,954 | 1,919 | 5,367 | 4,093 | 11,379 |
| 12 | 22,024 | 36,496 | 58,520 | 1,761 | 4,955 | 4,535 | 11,251 |
| 13 | 20,402 | 39,727 | 60,129 | 1,622 | 4,590 | 4,962 | 11,174 |
| 14 | 18,736 | 43,047 | 61,783 | 1,481 | 4,216 | 5,403 | 11,100 |
| 15 | 16,969 | 46,513 | 63,482 | 1,333 | 3,818 | 5,868 | 11,019 |
| 16 | 15,045 | 50,183 | 65,228 | 1,175 | 3,385 | 6,362 | 10,922 |
| 17 | 13,181 | 53,840 | 67,021 | 1,023 | 2,966 | 6,859 | 10,848 |
| 18 | 11,617 | 57,247 | 68,864 | 896 | 2,614 | 7,329 | 10,839 |
| 19 | 10,175 | 60,583 | 70,758 | 780 | 2,289 | 7,794 | 10,863 |
| 20 | 8,830 | 63,874 | 72,704 | 673 | 1,987 | 8,257 | 10,917 |
| 21 | 7,597 | 67,106 | 74,703 | 575 | 1,709 | 8,717 | 11,001 |
| 22 | 6,362 | 70,396 | 76,758 | 479 | 1,431 | 9,188 | 11,098 |
| 23 | 5,167 | 73,702 | 78,869 | 386 | 1,163 | 9,666 | 11,215 |
| 24 | 4,243 | 76,794 | 81,037 | 315 | 955 | 10,120 | 11,390 |
| 25 | 3,452 | 79,814 | 83,266 | 255 | 777 | 10,567 | 11,599 |
| 26 | 2,742 | 82,814 | 85,556 | 201 | 617 | 11,016 | 11,834 |
| 27 | 2,056 | 85,853 | 87,909 | 150 | 463 | 11,474 | 12,087 |
| 28 | 1,524 | 88,802 | 90,326 | 110 | 343 | 11,924 | 12,377 |
| 29 | 1,160 | 91,650 | 92,810 | 83 | 261 | 12,364 | 12,708 |
| 30 | 804 | 94,558 | 95,362 | 57 | 181 | 12,815 | 13,053 |
| 31 | 516 | 97,469 | 97,985 | 37 | 116 | 13,270 | 13,423 |
| 32 | 283 | 100,396 | 100,679 | 20 | 64 | 13,732 | 13,816 |
| 33 | 165 | 103,283 | 103,448 | 12 | 37 | 14,191 | 14,240 |
| 34 | 109 | 106,184 | 106,293 | 8 | 25 | 14,590 | 14,623 |
| 35 | 36 | 109,180 | 109,216 | 3 | 8 | 15,001 | 15,012 |
| 36 | 0 | 112,219 | 112,219 | 0 | 0 | 15,419 | 15,419 |
| 37 | 0 | 115,305 | 115,305 | 0 | 0 | 15,843 | 15,843 |
| 38 | 0 | 118,476 | 118,476 | 0 | 0 | 16,279 | 16,279 |
| 39 | 0 | 121,734 | 121,734 | 0 | 0 | 16,726 | 16,726 |
| 40 | 0 | 125,082 | 125,082 | 0 | 0 | 17,186 | 17,186 |
| 41 | 0 | 128,522 | 128,522 | 0 | 0 | 17,659 | 17,659 |
| 42 | 0 | 132,056 | 132,056 | 0 | 0 | 18,145 | 18,145 |
| 43 | 0 | 135,688 | 135,688 | 0 | 0 | 18,643 | 18,643 |
| 44 | 0 | 139,419 | 139,419 | 0 | 0 | 19,156 | 19,156 |
| 45 | 0 | 143,253 | 143,253 | 0 | 0 | 19,683 | 19,683 |
| 46 | 0 | 147,193 | 147,193 | 0 | 0 | 20,224 | 20,224 |
| 47 | 0 | 151,240 | 151,240 | 0 | 0 | 20,780 | 20,780 |
| 48 | 0 | 155,400 | 155,400 | 0 | 0 | 21,352 | 21,352 |
| 49 | 0 | 159,673 | 159,673 | 0 | 0 | 21,939 | 21,939 |
| 50 | 0 | 164,064 | 164,064 | 0 | 0 | 22,542 | 22,542 |

* Contributions related to future employees in excess of normal cost and expenses. Normal cost and expenses initially total $19.28 \%$ of pay but trend down over the projection period (based on the projection assumptions) due to the recognition of lower normal costs for Judges hired after June 30, 2013.

For purposes of this projection, based on GASB guidance, we assumed the current contribution rates would continue after the plan becomes fully funded. If we reflected a decrease in contribution rates due to full funding, future assets and contributions would be less than what is shown herein.

Single Discount Rate Development
Projection of Contributions (continued) (Dollars in Thousands)

|  | Projected Covered-Employee Payroll |  |  |  | Projected Contributions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Payroll for Current Employee |  | Payroll for New Employees | Total Employee Payroll | Contributions from Current Employees | Employer Contributions for Current Employees | Contributions on Future Payroll toward Current UAL* | Total <br> Contributions |
|  | (a) |  | (b) | (c) $=(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})$ | (d) | (e) | (f) | $(\mathrm{g})=(\mathrm{d})+(\mathrm{e})+(\mathrm{f})$ |
| 51 | \$ 0 | \$ | 168,576 | 168,576 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 23,162 | \$ 23,162 |
| 52 | 0 |  | 173,212 | 173,212 | 0 | 0 | 23,799 | 23,799 |
| 53 | 0 |  | 177,975 | 177,975 | 0 | 0 | 24,454 | 24,454 |
| 54 | 0 |  | 182,869 | 182,869 | 0 | 0 | 25,126 | 25,126 |
| 55 | 0 |  | 187,898 | 187,898 | 0 | 0 | 25,817 | 25,817 |
| 56 | 0 |  | 193,065 | 193,065 | 0 | 0 | 26,527 | 26,527 |
| 57 | 0 |  | 198,375 | 198,375 | 0 | 0 | 27,257 | 27,257 |
| 58 | 0 |  | 203,830 | 203,830 | 0 | 0 | 28,006 | 28,006 |
| 59 | 0 |  | 209,435 | 209,435 | 0 | 0 | 28,776 | 28,776 |
| 60 | 0 |  | 215,195 | 215,195 | 0 | 0 | 29,568 | 29,568 |
| 61 | 0 |  | 221,113 | 221,113 | 0 | 0 | 30,381 | 30,381 |
| 62 | 0 |  | 227,193 | 227,193 | 0 | 0 | 31,216 | 31,216 |
| 63 | 0 |  | 233,441 | 233,441 | 0 | 0 | 32,075 | 32,075 |
| 64 | 0 |  | 239,861 | 239,861 | 0 | 0 | 32,957 | 32,957 |
| 65 | 0 |  | 246,457 | 246,457 | 0 | 0 | 33,863 | 33,863 |
| 66 | 0 |  | 253,234 | 253,234 | 0 | 0 | 34,794 | 34,794 |
| 67 | 0 |  | 260,198 | 260,198 | 0 | 0 | 35,751 | 35,751 |
| 68 | 0 |  | 267,354 | 267,354 | 0 | 0 | 36,734 | 36,734 |
| 69 | 0 |  | 274,706 | 274,706 | 0 | 0 | 37,745 | 37,745 |
| 70 | 0 |  | 282,260 | 282,260 | 0 | 0 | 38,783 | 38,783 |
| 71 | 0 |  | 290,023 | 290,023 | 0 | 0 | 39,849 | 39,849 |
| 72 | 0 |  | 297,998 | 297,998 | 0 | 0 | 40,945 | 40,945 |
| 73 | 0 |  | 306,193 | 306,193 | 0 | 0 | 42,071 | 42,071 |
| 74 | 0 |  | 314,613 | 314,613 | 0 | 0 | 43,228 | 43,228 |
| 75 | 0 |  | 323,265 | 323,265 | 0 | 0 | 44,417 | 44,417 |
| 76 | 0 |  | 332,155 | 332,155 | 0 | 0 | 45,638 | 45,638 |
| 77 | 0 |  | 341,289 | 341,289 | 0 | 0 | 46,893 | 46,893 |
| 78 | 0 |  | 350,675 | 350,675 | 0 | 0 | 48,183 | 48,183 |
| 79 | 0 |  | 360,318 | 360,318 | 0 | 0 | 49,508 | 49,508 |
| 80 | 0 |  | 370,227 | 370,227 | 0 | 0 | 50,869 | 50,869 |
| 81 | 0 |  | 380,408 | 380,408 | 0 | 0 | 52,268 | 52,268 |
| 82 | 0 |  | 390,870 | 390,870 | 0 | 0 | 53,705 | 53,705 |
| 83 | 0 |  | 401,619 | 401,619 | 0 | 0 | 55,182 | 55,182 |
| 84 | 0 |  | 412,663 | 412,663 | 0 | 0 | 56,700 | 56,700 |
| 85 | 0 |  | 424,011 | 424,011 | 0 | 0 | 58,259 | 58,259 |
| 86 | 0 |  | 435,672 | 435,672 | 0 | 0 | 59,861 | 59,861 |
| 87 | 0 |  | 447,653 | 447,653 | 0 | 0 | 61,507 | 61,507 |
| 88 | 0 |  | 459,963 | 459,963 | 0 | 0 | 63,199 | 63,199 |
| 89 | 0 |  | 472,612 | 472,612 | 0 | 0 | 64,937 | 64,937 |
| 90 | 0 |  | 485,609 | 485,609 | 0 | 0 | 66,723 | 66,723 |
| 91 | 0 |  | 498,963 | 498,963 | 0 | 0 | 68,558 | 68,558 |
| 92 | 0 |  | 512,685 | 512,685 | 0 | 0 | 70,443 | 70,443 |
| 93 | 0 |  | 526,783 | 526,783 | 0 | 0 | 72,380 | 72,380 |
| 94 | 0 |  | 541,270 | 541,270 | 0 | 0 | 74,370 | 74,370 |
| 95 | 0 |  | 556,155 | 556,155 | 0 | 0 | 76,416 | 76,416 |
| 96 | 0 |  | 571,449 | 571,449 | 0 | 0 | 78,517 | 78,517 |
| 97 | 0 |  | 587,164 | 587,164 | 0 | 0 | 80,676 | 80,676 |
| 98 | 0 |  | 603,311 | 603,311 | 0 | 0 | 82,895 | 82,895 |
| 99 | 0 |  | 619,902 | 619,902 | 0 | 0 | 85,175 | 85,175 |
| 100 | 0 |  | 636,949 | 636,949 | 0 | 0 | 87,517 | 87,517 |

* Contributions related to future employees in excess of normal cost and expenses. Normal cost and expenses initially total $19.28 \%$ of pay but trend down over the projection period (based on the projection assumptions) due to the recognition of lower normal costs for Judges hired after June 30, 2013.
For purposes of this projection, based on GASB guidance, we assumed the current contribution rates would continue after the plan becomes fully funded. If we reflected a decrease in contribution rates due to full funding, future assets and contributions would be less than what is shown herein.


## Single Discount Rate Development Projection of Plan Fiduciary Net Position (Dollars in Thousands)

| Year | Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position | Projected Total Contributions | Projected Benefit Payments | Projected Administrative Expenses |  | Projected Investment Earnings at 7.90\% |  | ojected Ending Plan Net Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |  | (e) |  | (f) $=$ (a) + (b)-(c)-(d) + (e) |
| 1 | \$ 175,556 | 13,470 | \$ 21,391 | 61 | 61 | 13,560 | \$ | 181,134 |
| 2 | 181,134 | 13,280 | 22,380 |  | 59 | 13,955 |  | 185,930 |
| 3 | 185,930 | 12,991 | 23,620 |  | 55 | 14,274 |  | 189,520 |
| 4 | 189,520 | 12,719 | 24,755 |  | 52 | 14,504 |  | 191,936 |
| 5 | 191,936 | 12,452 | 25,987 |  | 49 | 14,636 |  | 192,988 |
| 6 | 192,988 | 12,242 | 27,141 |  | 47 | 14,667 |  | 192,709 |
| 7 | 192,709 | 12,081 | 28,008 |  | 44 | 14,605 |  | 191,343 |
| 8 | 191,343 | 11,913 | 28,896 |  | 42 | 14,456 |  | 188,774 |
| 9 | 188,774 | 11,730 | 29,851 |  | 39 | 14,209 |  | 184,823 |
| 10 | 184,823 | 11,552 | 30,855 |  | 36 | 13,851 |  | 179,335 |
| 11 | 179,335 | 11,379 | 31,862 |  | 33 | 13,372 |  | 172,191 |
| 12 | 172,191 | 11,251 | 32,693 |  | 31 | 12,771 |  | 163,489 |
| 13 | 163,489 | 11,174 | 33,423 |  | 29 | 12,052 |  | 153,263 |
| 14 | 153,263 | 11,100 | 34,182 |  | 26 | 11,212 |  | 141,367 |
| 15 | 141,367 | 11,019 | 34,878 |  | 24 | 10,242 |  | 127,726 |
| 16 | 127,726 | 10,922 | 35,604 |  | 21 | 9,133 |  | 112,156 |
| 17 | 112,156 | 10,848 | 36,226 |  | 18 | 7,876 |  | 94,636 |
| 18 | 94,636 | 10,839 | 36,681 |  | 16 | 6,474 |  | 75,252 |
| 19 | 75,252 | 10,863 | 36,976 |  | 14 | 4,932 |  | 54,057 |
| 20 | 54,057 | 10,917 | 37,109 |  | 12 | 3,255 |  | 31,108 |
| 21 | 31,108 | 11,001 | 37,051 |  | 11 | 1,447 |  | 6,494 |
| 22 | 6,494 | 11,098 | 36,913 |  | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 11,215 | 36,624 |  | 7 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 11,390 | 36,077 |  | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 11,599 | 35,355 |  | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 11,834 | 34,536 |  | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 12,087 | 33,615 |  | 3 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 12,377 | 32,458 |  | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 12,708 | 31,167 |  | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 13,053 | 29,847 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 13,423 | 28,468 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 13,816 | 27,053 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 14,240 | 25,582 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | 14,623 | 24,095 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | 15,012 | 22,644 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | 15,419 | 21,202 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 37 | 0 | 15,843 | 19,775 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 38 | 0 | 16,279 | 18,382 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 39 | 0 | 16,726 | 17,026 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 17,186 | 15,710 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 17,659 | 14,436 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 18,145 | 13,205 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 18,643 | 12,020 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 44 | 0 | 19,156 | 10,884 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 45 | 0 | 19,683 | 9,799 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 46 | 0 | 20,224 | 8,768 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 20,780 | 7,794 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 21,352 | 6,880 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 49 | 0 | 21,939 | 6,027 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 50 | 0 | 22,542 | 5,238 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

For purposes of this projection, based on GASB guidance, we assumed the current contribution rates would continue after the plan becomes fully funded. If we reflected a decrease in contribution rates due to full funding, future assets and contributions would be less than what is shown herein.

# Single Discount Rate Development <br> Projection of Plan Fiduciary Net Position (continued) (Dollars in Thousands) 

| Year | Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position | Projected Total Contributions | Projected Benefit Payments | Projected Administrative Expenses |  | Projected Investment Earnings at 7.90\% | Projected Ending Plan <br> Net Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |  | (e) | (f) $=$ (a) +(b)-(c)-(d)+(e) |
| 51 | \$ 0 | \$ 23,162 | \$ 4,513 | \$ 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 23,799 | 3,854 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 0 | 24,454 | 3,258 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 0 | 25,126 | 2,728 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 | 25,817 | 2,262 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | 0 | 26,527 | 1,857 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 57 | 0 | 27,257 | 1,508 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 58 | 0 | 28,006 | 1,211 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | 0 | 28,776 | 963 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 0 | 29,568 | 758 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | 0 | 30,381 | 590 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | 0 | 31,216 | 454 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 0 | 32,075 | 347 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 32,957 | 262 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 65 | 0 | 33,863 | 195 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 66 | 0 | 34,794 | 144 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | 0 | 35,751 | 106 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | 0 | 36,734 | 77 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 | 0 | 37,745 | 55 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | 38,783 | 39 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71 | 0 | 39,849 | 27 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | 0 | 40,945 | 19 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 73 | 0 | 42,071 | 13 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 74 | 0 | 43,228 | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 75 | 0 | 44,417 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 0 | 45,638 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 | 0 | 46,893 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78 | 0 | 48,183 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 79 | 0 | 49,508 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 80 | 0 | 50,869 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81 | 0 | 52,268 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 82 | 0 | 53,705 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 83 | 0 | 55,182 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 84 | 0 | 56,700 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85 | 0 | 58,259 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 86 | 0 | 59,861 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87 | 0 | 61,507 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 88 | 0 | 63,199 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 89 | 0 | 64,937 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90 | 0 | 66,723 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 | 0 | 68,558 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 92 | 0 | 70,443 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 93 | 0 | 72,380 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 94 | 0 | 74,370 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95 | 0 | 76,416 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 96 | 0 | 78,517 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 97 | 0 | 80,676 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 98 | 0 | 82,895 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 99 | 0 | 85,175 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 0 | 87,517 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

For purposes of this projection, based on GASB guidance, we assumed the current contribution rates would continue after the plan becomes fully funded. If we reflected a decrease in contribution rates due to full funding, future assets and contributions would be less than what is shown herein.

# Single Discount Rate Development <br> Present Values of Projected Benefits (Dollars in Thousands) 

| Year | Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position | Projected Benefit Payments | Funded Portion of Benefit Payments | Unfunded Portion of Benefit Payments | Present Value of <br> Funded Benefit <br> Payments using <br> Expected Return <br> Rate (v) | Present Value of Unfunded Benefit Payments using Municipal Bond Rate (v) | Present Value of Benefit <br> Payments using Single Discount Rate (sdr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | $(\mathrm{f})=(\mathrm{d}) * \mathrm{v}^{\wedge}((\mathrm{a})-.5)$ | $(\mathrm{g})=(\mathrm{e}) * \mathrm{vf}^{\wedge}((\mathrm{a})-.5)$ | (h) $=\left((\mathrm{c}) /(1+\mathrm{sdr})^{\wedge}(\mathrm{a}-.5)\right.$ |
| 1 | \$ 175,556 | \$ 21,391 | \$ 21,391 | \$ 0 | \$ 20,593 | \$ 0 | \$ 20,799 |
| 2 | 181,134 | 22,380 | 22,380 | 0 | 19,967 | 0 | 20,571 |
| 3 | 185,930 | 23,620 | 23,620 | 0 | 19,531 | 0 | 20,525 |
| 4 | 189,520 | 24,755 | 24,755 | 0 | 18,971 | 0 | 20,337 |
| 5 | 191,936 | 25,987 | 25,987 | 0 | 18,457 | 0 | 20,182 |
| 6 | 192,988 | 27,141 | 27,141 | 0 | 17,865 | 0 | 19,927 |
| 7 | 192,709 | 28,008 | 28,008 | 0 | 17,086 | 0 | 19,440 |
| 8 | 191,343 | 28,896 | 28,896 | 0 | 16,337 | 0 | 18,961 |
| 9 | 188,774 | 29,851 | 29,851 | 0 | 15,641 | 0 | 18,518 |
| 10 | 184,823 | 30,855 | 30,855 | 0 | 14,984 | 0 | 18,095 |
| 11 | 179,335 | 31,862 | 31,862 | 0 | 14,340 | 0 | 17,664 |
| 12 | 172,191 | 32,693 | 32,693 | 0 | 13,637 | 0 | 17,135 |
| 13 | 163,489 | 33,423 | 33,423 | 0 | 12,920 | 0 | 16,561 |
| 14 | 153,263 | 34,182 | 34,182 | 0 | 12,246 | 0 | 16,011 |
| 15 | 141,367 | 34,878 | 34,878 | 0 | 11,581 | 0 | 15,445 |
| 16 | 127,726 | 35,604 | 35,604 | 0 | 10,956 | 0 | 14,905 |
| 17 | 112,156 | 36,226 | 36,226 | 0 | 10,332 | 0 | 14,337 |
| 18 | 94,636 | 36,681 | 36,681 | 0 | 9,695 | 0 | 13,724 |
| 19 | 75,252 | 36,976 | 36,976 | 0 | 9,058 | 0 | 13,079 |
| 20 | 54,057 | 37,109 | 37,109 | 0 | 8,425 | 0 | 12,409 |
| 21 | 31,108 | 37,051 | 31,108 | 5,943 | 6,544 | 2,514 | 11,713 |
| 22 | 6,494 | 36,913 | 6,494 | 30,419 | 1,266 | 12,330 | 11,031 |
| 23 | 0 | 36,624 | 0 | 36,624 | 0 | 14,233 | 10,347 |
| 24 | 0 | 36,077 | 0 | 36,077 | 0 | 13,444 | 9,636 |
| 25 | 0 | 35,355 | 0 | 35,355 | 0 | 12,633 | 8,927 |
| 26 | 0 | 34,536 | 0 | 34,536 | 0 | 11,833 | 8,244 |
| 27 | 0 | 33,615 | 0 | 33,615 | 0 | 11,044 | 7,586 |
| 28 | 0 | 32,458 | 0 | 32,458 | 0 | 10,225 | 6,925 |
| 29 | 0 | 31,167 | 0 | 31,167 | 0 | 9,414 | 6,286 |
| 30 | 0 | 29,847 | 0 | 29,847 | 0 | 8,645 | 5,691 |
| 31 | 0 | 28,468 | 0 | 28,468 | 0 | 7,906 | 5,131 |
| 32 | 0 | 27,053 | 0 | 27,053 | 0 | 7,204 | 4,610 |
| 33 | 0 | 25,582 | 0 | 25,582 | 0 | 6,532 | 4,121 |
| 34 | 0 | 24,095 | 0 | 24,095 | 0 | 5,899 | 3,670 |
| 35 | 0 | 22,644 | 0 | 22,644 | 0 | 5,316 | 3,260 |
| 36 | 0 | 21,202 | 0 | 21,202 | 0 | 4,773 | 2,886 |
| 37 | 0 | 19,775 | 0 | 19,775 | 0 | 4,268 | 2,545 |
| 38 | 0 | 18,382 | 0 | 18,382 | 0 | 3,804 | 2,236 |
| 39 | 0 | 17,026 | 0 | 17,026 | 0 | 3,379 | 1,958 |
| 40 | 0 | 15,710 | 0 | 15,710 | 0 | 2,990 | 1,708 |
| 41 | 0 | 14,436 | 0 | 14,436 | 0 | 2,634 | 1,484 |
| 42 | 0 | 13,205 | 0 | 13,205 | 0 | 2,310 | 1,283 |
| 43 | 0 | 12,020 | 0 | 12,020 | 0 | 2,016 | 1,104 |
| 44 | 0 | 10,884 | 0 | 10,884 | 0 | 1,751 | 945 |
| 45 | 0 | 9,799 | 0 | 9,799 | 0 | 1,511 | 804 |
| 46 | 0 | 8,768 | 0 | 8,768 | 0 | 1,297 | 680 |
| 47 | 0 | 7,794 | 0 | 7,794 | 0 | 1,105 | 572 |
| 48 | 0 | 6,880 | 0 | 6,880 | 0 | 935 | 477 |
| 49 | 0 | 6,027 | 0 | 6,027 | 0 | 786 | 395 |
| 50 | 0 | 5,238 | 0 | 5,238 | 0 | 655 | 325 |

For purposes of this projection, based on GASB guidance, we assumed the current contribution rates would continue after the plan becomes fully funded. If we reflected a decrease in contribution rates due to full funding, future assets and contributions would be less than what is shown herein.

## Single Discount Rate Development <br> Present Values of Projected Benefits (continued) (Dollars in Thousands)



For purposes of this projection, based on GASB guidance, we assumed the current contribution rates would continue after the plan becomes fully funded. If we reflected a decrease in contribution rates due to full funding, future assets and contributions would be less than what is shown herein.


Note: Assets are expected to be fully depleted in year 22 (year ending June 30, 2036).

## SECTION H

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)

Actuarial Assumptions

## Accrued Service

## Actuarial Equivalent

## Actuarial Cost Method

## Actuarial Gain (Loss)

Actuarial Present Value (APV)

## Actuarial Valuation

## Actuarial Valuation Date <br> Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC)

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The AAL is the difference between the actuarial present value of all benefits and the actuarial value of future normal costs. The definition comes from the fundamental equation of funding which states that the present value of all benefits is the sum of the Actuarial Accrued Liability and the present value of future normal costs. The AAL may also be referred to as "accrued liability" or "actuarial liability."

These assumptions are estimates of future experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and compensation increases. Actuarial assumptions are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (compensation increases, payroll growth, inflation and investment return) consist of an underlying real rate of return plus an assumption for a long-term average rate of inflation.

Service credited under the system which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.

A single amount or series of amounts of equal actuarial value to another single amount or series of amounts, computed on the basis of appropriate actuarial assumptions.

A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the actuarial present value of the pension trust benefits between future normal cost and actuarial accrued liability. The actuarial cost method may also be referred to as the actuarial funding method.

The difference in liabilities between actual experience and expected experience during the period between two actuarial valuations is the gain (loss) on the accrued liabilities.

The amount of funds currently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. The present value is determined by discounting future benefit payments at predetermined rates of interest to reflect the expected effects of the time value (present value) of money and the probabilities of payment.

The actuarial valuation report determines, as of the actuarial valuation date, the service cost, total pension liability, and related actuarial present value of projected benefit payments for pensions performed in conformity with Actuarial Standards of Practice unless otherwise specified by the GASB.

The date as of which an actuarial valuation is performed.
A calculated contribution into a defined benefit pension plan for the reporting period, most often determined based on the funding policy of the plan. Typically the Actuarially Determined Contribution has a normal cost payment and an amortization payment.

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

# Amortization Payment 

Amortization Method

Cost-of-Living Adjustments

Cost-Sharing Multiple-
Employer Defined Benefit
Pension Plan (cost-sharing pension plan)

Covered-Employee Payroll

## Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources

Discount Rate or Single Discount Rate

The amortization payment is the periodic payment required to pay off an interest-discounted amount with payments of interest and principal.

The method used to determine the periodic amortization payment may be a level dollar amount, or a level percent of pay amount. The period will typically be expressed in years, and the method will either be "open" (meaning, reset each year) or "closed" (the number of years remaining will decline each year.

Postemployment benefit changes intended to adjust benefit payments for the effects of inflation.

A multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan in which the pension obligations to the employees of more than one employer are pooled and pension plan assets can be used to pay the benefits of the employees of any employer that provides pensions through the pension plan.

The payroll of covered employees, which is typically only the pensionable pay and does not include pay above any pay cap.

The deferred inflows and outflows of pension resources are amounts used under GASB Statement No. 68 in developing the annual pension expense. Deferred inflows and outflows arise with differences between expected and actual experiences; changes of assumptions. The portion of these amounts not included in pension expense should be included in the deferred inflows or outflows of resources.

For GASB purposes, the discount rate is the single rate of return that results in the present value of all projected benefit payments to be equal to the sum of the funded and unfunded projected benefit payments, specifically:

1. The benefit payments to be made while the pension plans' fiduciary net position is projected to be greater than the benefit payments that are projected to be made in the period and;
2. The present value of the benefit payments not in (1) above, discounted using the municipal bond rate.

The EAN is a funding method for allocating the costs of the plan between the normal cost and the accrued liability. The actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in an actuarial valuation is allocated on a level basis (either level dollar or level percent of pay) over the earnings or service of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages(s). The portion of the actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is the normal cost. The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the actuarial present value of future normal costs is the actuarial accrued liability. The sum of the accrued liability plus the present value of all future normal costs is the present value of all benefits.

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Fiduciary Net Position

GASB

Long-Term Expected Rate of Return

Money-Weighted Rate of Return

Multiple-Employer Defined
Benefit Pension Plan
Municipal Bond Rate

Net Pension Liability (NPL) The NPL is the liability of employers and non-employer contribution entities to plan members for benefits provided through a defined benefit pension plan.

Non-employer contributing entities are entities that make contributions to a pension plan that is used to provide pensions to the employees of other entities. For purposes of the GASB Accounting Statements No. 67 and No. 68 plan members are not considered non-employer contribution entities.

The actuarial present value of the pension trust benefits allocated to the current year by the actuarial cost method.

All postemployment benefits other than retirement income (such as death benefits, life insurance, disability, and long-term care) that are provided separately from a pension plan, as well as postemployment healthcare benefits regardless of the manner in which they are provided. Other postemployment benefits do not include termination benefits.

The real rate of return is the rate of return on an investment after adjustment to eliminate inflation.

The service cost is the portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that is attributed to a valuation year.

## Glossary of Terms

Total Pension Expense

Total Pension Liability (TPL)

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

Valuation Assets

The TPL is the portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that is attributed to past periods of member service.
The total pension expense is the sum of the following items that are recognized at the end of the employer's fiscal year:

1. Service Cost
2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability
3. Current-Period Changes in Benefit Terms
4. Employee Contributions
5. Projected Earnings on Plan Investments
6. Pension Plan Administrative Expense
7. Other Changes in Plan Fiduciary Net Position
8. Recognition of Outflow (Inflow) of Resources due to the difference between expected and actual in measurement of the Total Pension Liability
9. Recognition of Outflow (Inflow) of Resources due to Assumption Changes
10. Recognition of Outflow (Inflow) of Resources due to the difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments

The UAAL is the difference between actuarial accrued liability and valuation assets.

The valuation assets are the plan fiduciary net position used in determining the net position liability of the plan. For purposes of the GASB Statement No. 67, the asset valuation method is equal to the market value of assets.


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumption changes are summarized on page 26.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Assumed equal to actual employer contributions divided by employer contribution rate.

